



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/656,742  | 09/07/2000                              | YURIY REZNIKOV       | KSU-188               | 1368            |
| 75  | 90 09/16/2003                           |                      |                       |                 |
| RAY L WEBER<br>RENNER KENNER GREIVE BOBAK TAYLOR & WEBER<br>SIXTEENTH FLOOR<br>FIRST NATIONAL TOWER |   |                      | EXAMINER              |                 |
|   |   |                      | TON, MINH TOAN T      |                 |
| AKRON, OH   |   |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                 |
|   | . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |                      | 2871                  |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | $ \omega $   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/656,742  | REZNIKOV ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Auvisory Action   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | Toan Ton  | 2871  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this applice  i) a timely filed amendment whi   | cation. A proper rep<br>ch places the applic  | ply to a<br>cation in  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dail have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$   36(a) and the appropriate exithe final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by   | ecause:   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or  | in better form for appeal by mat  | erially reducing or s   | simplifying the  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   | etion(s):   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a s  | eparate, timely file  | d amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:   |   | sidered but does NO   | OT place the   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which we  | ere newly  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w  |   |   | and an   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4-12, 14-27</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a) approved or b) disapp  | proved by the Exan  | niner.   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  | (-)(  | <del></del> *   |  |  |  |  |
| TO.L. Other.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |

•



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The broaden of the claims raisse new issues that would require further search and consideration.

TOANTON TOANTON TOANTON EXAMINER